

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

<b>MICO GRIMES,</b>	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b>No. CIV 09-458-JHP-KEW</b>
	)	
<b>MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,</b>	)	
Commissioner of Social	)	
Security Administration,	)	
Defendant.	)	

**JUDGMENT**

Pursuant to the Court's order of March 31, 2011, remanding this action for further administrative action under the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. §405 (g), of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §405(g), the court enters judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in accordance with the provisions of Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.<sup>1</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 31st day of March, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James H. Payne  
United States District Judge  
Eastern District of Oklahoma

---

<sup>1</sup> A remand pursuant to sentence four does not allow for retention of district court jurisdiction. See Shalala v. Schaefer, \_\_ U.S. \_\_, 113 S.Ct. 2625 (1993); Melkonyan v. Sullivan, 501 U.S. 89 (1991).